1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California JOSE R. GUERRERO, State Bar No. 97276 Supervising Deputy Attorney General CATHERINE E. SANTILLAN Senior Legal Analyst California Department of Justice 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-5579	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	Facsimile: (415) 703-5480	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE THE RESPIRATORY CARE BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. R-2114
12	MICHELLE S. MICHAEL 5540 Janine Way	FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION
13	Corning CA 96021	
14	Respiratory Care Practitioner License No. 20231	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Complainant alleges:	
18	<u>PARTIES</u>	
19	1. Stephanie Nunez (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her	
20	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Respiratory Care Board of California,	
21	Department of Consumer Affairs.	
22	2. On or about August 14, 1998, the Respiratory Care Board issued	
23	Respiratory Care Practitioner License Number 20231 to Michelle S. Michael (Respondent). The	
24	Respiratory Care Practitioner License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the	
25	charges brought herein and will expire on February 29, 2008, unless renewed.	
26	<u>JURISDICTION</u>	
27	3. This Accusation is brought before the Respiratory Care Board (Board),	
28	Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section	

- 4. Section 3710 of the Code states: "The Respiratory Care Board of California, hereafter referred to as the board, shall enforce and administer this chapter [Chapter 8.3, the Respiratory Care Practice Act]."
- 5. Section 3718 of the Code states: "The board shall issue, deny, suspend, and revoke licenses to practice respiratory care as provided in this chapter."
 - 6. Section 3750 of the Code states:

"The board may order the denial, suspension or revocation of, or the imposition of probationary conditions upon, a license issued under this chapter, for any of the following causes:

- "(f) Negligence in his or her practice as a respiratory care practitioner";
- "(g) Conviction of a violation of any of the provisions of this chapter or of any provision of Division 2 (commencing with Section 500), or violating, or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of any provision of Division 2 (commencing with Section 500)."
- "(j) The commission of any fraudulent, dishonest, or corrupt act which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a respiratory care practitioner."

7. Section 3755 of the Code states:

"The board may take action against any respiratory care practitioner who is charged with unprofessional conduct in administering, or attempting to administer, direct or indirect respiratory care. Unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, repeated acts of clearly administering directly or indirectly inappropriate or unsafe respiratory care procedures, protocols, therapeutic regimens, or diagnostic testing or monitoring techniques, and violation of any provision of Section 3750. The board may determine unprofessional conduct involving any and all aspects of respiratory care performed by anyone licensed as a respiratory care practitioner."

8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1399.370, states:

"For the purposes of denial, suspension, or revocation of a license, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a respiratory care practitioner, if it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee to perform the functions authorized by his or her license or in a manner inconsistent with the public health, safety, or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include but not be limited to those involving the following:

"(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of the Act.

COST RECOVERY

9. Section 3753.5, subdivision (a) of the Code states:

"In any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the board, the board or the administrative law judge may direct any practitioner or applicant found to have committed a violation or violations of law to pay to the board a sum not to exceed the costs of the investigation and prosecution of the case."

10. Section 3753.7 of the Code states:

"For purposes of the Respiratory Care Practice Act, costs of prosecution shall include attorney general or other prosecuting attorney fees, expert witness fees, and other administrative, filing, and service fees."

- 11. Section 3753.1 of the Code states:
- "(a) An administrative disciplinary decision imposing terms of probation may include, among other things, a requirement that the licensee-probationer pay the monetary costs associated with monitoring the probation."

FACTS

12. Respondent was employed as a respiratory therapist at Enloe Medical Center in Chico, California. Enloe Medical Center requires use of the PYXIS system, a portable medication dispensing unit, to obtain medications for patients. Hospital staff members, including respiratory therapists, are required to enter an identification code and password to obtain

medication. Enloe Medical Center's policy requires that respiratory therapists obtain patient medication from PYXIS. Enloe Medical Center also uses a Medication Administration Record (MAR) to track medications. The MAR is a daily printout form from the pharmacy listing all physician-ordered medications for a 24-hour period. The respiratory therapist is required to initial the MAR form to verify the medication was delivered, and also chart the therapy. Accurate billing is obtained with correlation of the PYXIS count, charting, and respiratory therapist billing.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Negligence; Dishonest Act; Unprofessional Conduct)

- 13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 3750(f) [negligence], 3750(j) [dishonest act] and 3755 [unprofessional conduct] in that she failed to provide a physician-ordered treatment, charted that she provided respiratory treatment when she did not do so, and failed to follow hospital policy in obtaining medication. The circumstances are as follows:
- 14. On or about December 6, 2006 at 1325 hours, Patient P.B.'s¹ physician ordered "Neb Med q4wa" (a nebulizer treatment every four hours while awake), "CPT Q 4 ATC" (chest percussive treatment every four hours around the clock), and "BiPAP prn distress" (BiPAP treatment as needed to relieve distress.)
- A. At 0110 hours, Respondent applied an in-line nebulizer treatment to Patient P.B., and charted that she administered the treatment. There is no record that she obtained medication from PYXIS and she did not initial the MAR. She did not perform CPT at that time, but charted that she did so. Respondent submitted billing for BIPAP and nebulizer medication.
- B. At 0422 hours, Respondent charted that she performed a nebulizer treatment and CPT for Patient P.B.. There is no documentation that respondent obtained the medication from PYXIS, and she did not initial the MAR. The patient's nurse, T.B., was present

^{1.} Initials are used to protect confidentiality. Full names will be provided in discovery.

1 in the room at the time, and observed that respondent did not administer the ordered nebulizer 2 treatment, nor did respondent perform CPT. 3 SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Unprofessional conduct) 4 5 15. Paragraphs 12 through 14 are incorporated herein. 16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 3755 6 7 [unprofessional conduct] in that she violated hospital policy for obtaining medication. The 8 circumstances are as follows: 9 17. Patient W.H. had doctors orders for nebulizer treatment every four hours. 10 On December 8, 2006, at 2040 hours, respondent charted that she provided the treatment, but 11 there is no documentation that she removed the medication from PYXIS. Respondent submitted 12 billing for the treatment. On December 8, 2006, at 0447 hours, respondent charted that she 13 provided the treatment, but there is no documentation that she removed the medication from 14 PYXIS. She submitted billing for the treatment. 15 18. Patient N.D. had doctors orders for nebulizer treatment four times a day. 16 On December 8, 2006, at 0627 hours, respondent charted that she delivered therapy but there is no supporting PYXIS documentation. She submitted billing for the treatment. 17 18 19. On December 6, 2006, doctors orders were written for Patient D.H. for 19 nebulizer treatment four times a day. On December 7, 2006 at 2115 hours, respondent charted 20 that she provided the treatment but there is no documentation that she removed the medication 21 from PYXIS. She submitted billing for the treatment. 22 **PRAYER** 23 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein 24 alleged, and that following the hearing, the Respiratory Care Board issue a decision: 25 1. Revoking or suspending Respiratory Care Practitioner License Number 26 20231, issued to Michelle S. Michael. 27 /// 28 ///

1	2. Ordering Michelle S. Michael to pay the Respiratory Care Board the costs	
2	of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and if placed on probation, the costs of	
3	probation monitoring;	
4	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
5	DATED: December 18, 2007	
6		
7	Original signed by Liane Zimmerman for:	
8	STEPHANIE NUNEZ Executive Officer	
9	Respiratory Care Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs	
10		
11	Companian	
12		
13	SF2007402326	
14	michael_m_lstamended_acc.wpd	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
2627		